Arizona.

3. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant is legally responsible for the acts and/or omissions giving rise to this cause of action and is legally and proximately responsible for damages as alleged herein.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is a citizen of the United States of America residing in Mohave County, Arizona.
- 5. Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee of IRunGuns from January 2015 until November 11, 2020.
 - 6. Plaintiff was employed at an office assistant.
 - 7. Plaintiff was compensated at an hourly rate at of \$17.75 per hour.
- 8. Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee entitled to overtime under the FLSA.
- 9. Upon information and belief, IRunGuns is an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business in the State of Arizona and operates its firearms and ammunition importer/exporter business in the State of Arizona.
- 10. IRunGuns, by and through its agents and employees, set Plaintiff's compensation and controlled her employment and the employment policies applicable for her, including compensation practices and the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment.
- 11. Defendant Jason Lane is President and owner of IRunGuns. As an owner of IRunGuns, Defendant Jason Lane set employment policies for IRunGuns, including but not limited to implementing policies regarding the amount and manner of

compensation for employees like Plaintiff. Defendant Jason Lane exercises control over IRunGuns operations, and he has the authority to hire and fire employees, determine wages, and establish and implement policies affecting significant aspects of the business's day-to-day functions and the decisions impacting the employees who work there. Defendant Jason Lane is an employer under the FLSA and Arizona Law.

- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant Carolyn Lane is the manager of IRunGuns. As a manager, Defendant Carolyn Lane set employment policies for IRunGuns, including but not limited to implementing policies regarding the amount and manner of compensation for employees like Plaintiff. Defendant Carolyn Lane exercises control over IRunGuns operations, and she has the authority to hire and fire employees, determine wages, and establish and implement policies affecting significant aspects of the business's day-to-day functions and the decisions impacting the employees who work there. Defendant Carolyn Lane is an employer under the FLSA and Arizona Law.
- 13. Defendant The Jason Todd Lane Living Trust dated 2/1/2022 is a member of IRunGuns. The Jason Todd Lane Living Trust dated 2/1/2022, acting through its Trustee, Defendant Jason Lane, is a trust with essentially all relevant ties to the State of Arizona.
- 14. Defendants Jason Lane and Carolyn Lane were at all times persons responsible for determining the method and rate of Plaintiff's payment of wages and made decisions affecting the policies directing Plaintiff's employment at IRunGuns. As persons who acted in the interest of IRunGuns in relation to the company's employees,

1	Defendants Jason Lane and Carolyn Lane are subject to individual liability under the					
2	FLSA.					
3	15.	Upon information and belief, Defendants Jason Lane and Carolyn Lane				
4 5	are husband	and wife and they have caused events to take place giving rise to this				
6	complaint for which their marital community is fully liable.					
7	•	. ,				
8	16.	At all relevant times, Defendants were an employer as defined by 29				
9	U.S.C. § 203(d), A.R.S. § 23-350(3), A.R.S. § 23-371(G), and a "person" under 29					
10	U.S.C. §§ 215(a) and 203(a).					
11		GENERAL ALLEGATIONS				
12	17.	Plaintiff realleges the aforementioned paragraphs as if fully set forth				
13	herein.					
14	18.	Plaintiff began her employment with Defendant in 2015 as an office				
15		Traintiff began her employment with Defendant in 2013 as an office				
16	assistant.					
17 18	19.	During her tenure as an office assistant at IRunGuns, Plaintiff was				
19	scheduled to work from 7:30 in the morning until 5 in the evening for five days during					
20	the typical work week.					
21	20.	Plaintiff's performed job duties that included, but were not limited to				
22	taking care of the office, payroll, filing documents and permits, managing all firearms					
23	and working with brokers.					
24						
25	21.	Plaintiff was required to clock in and out on the company's timekeeping				
26	system.					
27						
28						

1	22.	Plaintiff was routinely required to work past the conclusion of her shift							
2	working additional time to finish her office duties.								
3	23.	Plaintiff routinely worked past the conclusion of her shift at least three							
4 5	times per week during a typical work week.								
6	24.	Plaintiff was only paid straight time for any hours worked overtime in a							
7									
8	25. Occasionally Plaintiff would have to work on the weekends.								
9									
10	26.	Plaintiff routinely worked through her lunches.							
11	27.	Plaintiff went to the company's location in Canada on multiple occasions							
12	which she was only paid straight time.								
13									
14	29.	This resulted in Plaintiff having to work off the clock without							
15									
16 17	compensation during pay periods.								
18	30.	Employees did not have to clock out for lunch so long as they stayed or							
19	site.								
20	31.	IRunGuns has a written policy which states that it does not pay our							
21	overtime.								
22	32.	Rather than pay overtime, IRunGuns implemented a commission							
23	opportunity.								
24	, , ,								
25	33.	Plaintiff was paid 2% commission for each sales transaction she made.							
26	34.	At the time of Plaintiff's employment, IRunGuns was utilizing Bluewater							
27	Accounting and Tax Service to process payroll.								
28									

refused to negotiate a settlement with DOL.

	45.	On	November	19,	2021,	Plaintiff	had	the	law	firm	of	David	Miles
McGui	re Gar	dner	issued a de	mano	d letter	to Defend	lants	for p	ayme	ent.			

- 46. Plaintiff received a response on December 17, 2021, accusing Plaintiff of retaliating against the company for terminating her employment.
- 47. Defendants have wrongfully withheld wages from Plaintiff by failing to pay wages due to Plaintiff for overtime wages Plaintiff worked.
- 48. Defendants unpaid wage practices of requiring Plaintiff to work off the clock were routine and consistent.
- 49. To date, Defendants have failed and refused to pay Plaintiff her rightfully owed and legally required overtime wages due and in accordance with the FLSA.
- 50. IRunGuns paid Plaintiff on an hourly basis and she is entitled to the overtime protections of the FLSA as set forth in 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq.
- 51. At all relevant times, IRunGuns has been, and continue to be, subject to the overtime provisions of the FLSA because their employees are engaged in interstate commerce and IRunGuns has annual revenue in excess of \$500,000.
- 52. Plaintiff is a non-exempt employee entitled to the statutorily mandated overtime pay according to the FLSA.
 - 53. IRunGuns was an employer pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 54. IRunGuns failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. § 207 because Plaintiff worked in excess of forty hours per week, but IRunGuns failed to pay her for those excess hours at the statutorily required rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay as required by the FLSA.

Accordingly,

1	D.	For the Court to award such other monetary, injunctive, equitable, and						
2	declaratory relief as the Court deems just and proper;							
3	E.	For the Court to award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under the						
4								
5	FLSA and A	rizona law;						
6	F.	For the Court to award pre-and-post judgment interest;						
7	G.	For the Court to award Plaintiff all other relief just and appropriate under						
8	the circumstances.							
9								
10		Demand for Jury Trial						
11	Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 38, Plaintiff hereby demands a							
12	trial by jury.							
13	DATED: May 26, 2022.							
14		UDALL SHUMWAY PLC						
15								
16		By: <u>/s/ Bradley D. Gardner</u> Bradley D. Gardner, Esq.						
17		1138 North Alma School Road, Suite 101 Mesa, Arizona 85201						
18		Attorneys for Plaintiff						
19	6305137.1/1340	2.1916						
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								